The reflection component in the NS X-ray binaries: analogies and differences with the BH class

> Antonino D'Aì T. Di Salvo, R. Iaria, R.Robba, A. Papitto, L. Burderi

> > Dipartimento di Scienze Fisiche ed Astronomiche Universitá di Palermo

High Energy View of Accreting Objects: AGN and X-ray Binaries Agios Nikolaos October 13 2010



A (1) > A (1) > A

## Black holes and NS

## **Common ingredients**

- Disk + corona
- At least two different accretion regimes (soft state vs. hard states)
- Disk reflection signatures
- High frequency features
- Both systems useful for probing strong gravity effects

#### Important differences

- NS have boundary layer emission
- Strong gravity in NS yes, but effects limited by the NS surface (don't worry about spins, *no need to use laor*)



< < >> < <</p>

## Black holes and NS

## **Common ingredients**

- Disk + corona
- At least two different accretion regimes (soft state vs. hard states)
- Disk reflection signatures
- High frequency features
- Both systems useful for probing strong gravity effects

## Important differences

- NS have boundary layer emission
- Strong gravity in NS yes, but effects limited by the NS surface (don't worry about spins, *no need to use laor*)



▶ ◀ 🗇 ▶ ◀ 🖻

## Relativistic lines in NS LMXBs

#### Relativistic lines in NS LMXB

- Atoll: Ser X-1 (Bhattacharyya 2007)
- Atoll: 4U 1636-536 (Pandel 2008)
- Atoll: 4U 1705-44 (Di Salvo 2009; Reis 2009)
- Atoll: 4U 1820-30 (Cackett 2009)
- Zeta: GX 340+0 (D'Aí 2009)
- Zeta: GX 349+2 (Iaria 2009; Cackett 2009)
- Tr. ms: SAX J1808.4-3658 (Papitto 2009; Cackett 2009)



## Reference

NS LMXB: SAX J1808.6-3658 Papitto et al., 2009, A&A XMM data

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >



## Relativistic lines in NS LMXBs

#### How solid are these detections? Arguments for:

- Physically plausible explanation and simple spectral fitting
- Ubiquity: AGN and black-holes and NS accreting binaries
- Goodness of the fit: compare  $\Delta \chi^2$  with the Gaussian profile
- Broadband spectral modelling of the reflection component and self-consistency

#### How solid are these detections? Arguments against:

- A broadening of the Fe Kα lines can be caused by other physical process:
  - Compton broadening in a moderately optically thick flow
  - Compton downscattering in an inflowing/outflowing relativistic wind
  - Blending of many lines
- Reliability of the spectra used for the analysis (are broad lines artificially produced by pile-up?)



• • • • • • • • • • • •

## Relativistic lines in NS LMXBs

#### How solid are these detections? Arguments for:

- Physically plausible explanation and simple spectral fitting
- Ubiquity: AGN and black-holes and NS accreting binaries
- Goodness of the fit: compare  $\Delta \chi^2$  with the Gaussian profile
- Broadband spectral modelling of the reflection component and self-consistency

## How solid are these detections? Arguments against:

- A broadening of the Fe Kα lines can be caused by other physical process:
  - Compton broadening in a moderately optically thick flow
  - Compton downscattering in an inflowing/outflowing relativistic wind
  - Blending of many lines
- Reliability of the spectra used for the analysis (are broad lines artificially produced by pile-up?)



## Relativistic lines in NS LMXBs

#### How solid are these detections? Arguments for:

- Physically plausible explanation and simple spectral fitting
- Ubiquity: AGN and black-holes and NS accreting binaries
- Goodness of the fit: compare  $\Delta \chi^2$  with the Gaussian profile
- Broadband spectral modelling of the reflection component and self-consistency

## How solid are these detections? Arguments against:

- A broadening of the Fe Kα lines can be caused by other physical process:
  - Compton broadening in a moderately optically thick flow
  - Compton downscattering in an inflowing/outflowing relativistic wind
  - Blending of many lines
- Reliability of the spectra used for the analysis (are broad lines artificially produced by pile-up?)



## Relativistic lines, pile-up and statistics

#### Pile-up in bright sources

- Relativistic origin of broad lines in XRB mostly relies on comparison between Gaussian (symmetric line profile) and relativistic reflection profiles (diskline, laor, kyrline, kdline);
- Line shape constraints are dependent on the spectrum quality (count rate, exposure, S/N ratio), bright objects are expected to be biased...
- ..but bright objects are more easily subject to spectral distortions due to presence of pile-up in CCD cameras;

#### Pile-up cure

- It is possible to avoid strong pile-up by excising the core of the point spread function
- Excising always causes loss of statistics and degradation of spectral information



A B A B A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

## Relativistic lines, pile-up and statistics

#### Pile-up in bright sources

- Relativistic origin of broad lines in XRB mostly relies on comparison between Gaussian (symmetric line profile) and relativistic reflection profiles (diskline, laor, kyrline, kdline);
- Line shape constraints are dependent on the spectrum quality (count rate, exposure, S/N ratio), bright objects are expected to be biased..
- ..but bright objects are more easily subject to spectral distortions due to presence of pile-up in CCD cameras;

#### Pile-up cure

- It is possible to avoid strong pile-up by excising the core of the point spread function
- Excising always causes loss of statistics and degradation of spectral information



< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

## A simple exercise on the influence of pile-up

## Simulated theoretical spectra convolved with a pile-up model

- Analysis of the response of in-flight CCD cameras to pile-up (Chandra, XMM-Newton, Suzaku, Swift/XRT)
- Selected faked spectra from bright NS/BH LMXB
- Convolved spectra with the Davis (2005) pile-up model
- Analysis of spectral distortions as a function of pile-up degree

Miller J., D'Aì et al. ON RELATIVISTIC ACCRETION DISK SPECTROSCOPY IN COMPACT OBJECTS WITH X-RAY CCD CAMERAS, ApJ in press (Arxiv:1009.4391)

#### Results from this exercise

Our results suggest that severe photon pile-up acts to falsely narrow emission lines, leading to falsely large disk radii and falsely low spin values. In contrast, our simulations suggest that disk continua affected by severe pile-up are measured to have falsely low flux values, leading to falsely small radii and falsely high spin values. **The results of these simulations and existing data appear to suggest that relativistic disk spectroscopy is generally robust against pile-up when this effect is modest**.



• • • • • • • • • • • • • •

## A simple exercise on the influence of pile-up

## Simulated theoretical spectra convolved with a pile-up model

- Analysis of the response of in-flight CCD cameras to pile-up (Chandra, XMM-Newton, Suzaku, Swift/XRT)
- Selected faked spectra from bright NS/BH LMXB
- Convolved spectra with the Davis (2005) pile-up model
- Analysis of spectral distortions as a function of pile-up degree

Miller J., D'Aì et al. ON RELATIVISTIC ACCRETION DISK SPECTROSCOPY IN COMPACT OBJECTS WITH X-RAY CCD CAMERAS, ApJ in press (Arxiv:1009.4391)

#### Results from this exercise

Our results suggest that severe photon pile-up acts to falsely narrow emission lines, leading to falsely large disk radii and falsely low spin values. In contrast, our simulations suggest that disk continua affected by severe pile-up are measured to have falsely low flux values, leading to falsely small radii and falsely high spin values. The results of these simulations and existing data appear to suggest that relativistic disk spectroscopy is generally robust against pile-up when this effect is modest.



## On the influence of pile-up: REAL DATA

# Extraction of spectra from different anulii around the PSF

- Real data from a XMM-Newton observation of 4U 1705-44 in the soft state
- EPIC/PN in timing mode (no image but very fast read-out time)
- Average count rate whole CCD  $\sim$  750 cts/s
- Point spread function cover the whole exposed CCD.



## On the influence of pile-up: REAL DATA

#### DATA: XMM-Newton observation 4U1705-44 in the soft state



A. D'Aì

< 17 ▶

On the influence of pile-up: REAL DATA



On the influence of pile-up: REAL DATA



## On the influence of pile-up: REAL DATA





## On the influence of pile-up: REAL DATA

Comparing spectral parameters			
	RAWX0 modest pile-up	RAWX3 negligible pile up	Error (σ)
wabs	2.23	2.53	2.2
bbody kT	0.530	0.513	2.1
bbody N ( $\times 10^{-2}$ )	3.58	3.92	1.5
flux BB ( $\times 10^{-9}$ )	3.0	3.3	3
kΤ <sub>o</sub>	1.23	1.26	2.0
kΤ <sub>e</sub>	5.33	4.35	10.0
au	6	6	
comptt N	0.22	0.27	10.0
flux comptt ( $\times$ 10 <sup>-9</sup> )	7.1	6.5	6
Fe line EW (eV)	56	59	< 1



## Arguments against the reflection scenario

#### Other physical mechanisms that can produce broad iron lines

- Blending of lines at different ionization states. Chandra high-resolution data do not support this argument.
- Thermal Compton broadening. It requires a fine tuning of electronic temperature and optical depth across a variety of sources and accretion regimes. It's a symmetric profile.
- Bulk motion Comptonization / downscattering inside a converging (or outflowind) relativistic wind.
   It predicts a different line shape, with no blue wings, contrary to what

observed.

< <p>> < <p>> < <p>> <</p>

## The case GX 340+0

## **Diskline parameters**

Line energy at  $6.69 \pm 0.02$  keV Inclination  $34.6 \pm 1.3$ Emissivity index  $2.50 \pm 0.10$ Inner disk radius  $13 \pm 3$  R<sub>g</sub> Outer radius > 3000 R<sub>g</sub>

#### Reference

D'Aí A. et al., 2009, ApJL arXiv:0906.3716



## GX 340+0: linking the fast temporal variability

## The HBO QPO

- During the observation, the HBO QPO moved, in correlation with the count rate, from 17 Hz to 40 Hz
- The kHz QPO upper peak is correlated to the HBO frequency → 500 to 750 Hz (see Jonker et al. 2000)
- The tracking is consistent with a inner disk movement (9.8-12.1 R<sub>g</sub>), but uncertainties in the inner radius measurements large.



## GX 340+0: linking the fast temporal variability

## The HBO QPO

- During the observation, the HBO QPO moved, in correlation with the count rate, from 17 Hz to 40 Hz
- The kHz QPO upper peak is correlated to the HBO frequency → 500 to 750 Hz (see Jonker et al. 2000)
- The tracking is consistent with a inner disk movement (9.8-12.1 R<sub>g</sub>), but uncertainties in the inner radius measurements large.



dsfa

## GX 340+0: linking the fast temporal variability

## The HBO QPO

- During the observation, the HBO QPO moved, in correlation with the count rate, from 17 Hz to 40 Hz
- The kHz QPO upper peak is correlated to the HBO frequency → 500 to 750 Hz (see Jonker et al. 2000)
- The tracking is consistent with a inner disk movement (9.8-12.1 R<sub>g</sub>), but uncertainties in the inner radius measurements large.

НВО	kHzQPO	R <sub>in</sub>	R <sub>in</sub>
(Hz)	(Hz)	km	km
$17.7\pm0.2$	496	26.6	$32^{+40}_{-16}$
$\textbf{25.7} \pm \textbf{0.2}$	605	23.5	$26^{+10}_{-14}$
$\textbf{29.4} \pm \textbf{0.2}$	654	22.3	28 <sup>4</sup> -8
$\textbf{34.6} \pm \textbf{0.4}$	725	20.8	$26^{+12}_{-14}$
$38\pm2$	770	20.0	$14^{+36}_{-2}$



## Need for a self-consistent reflection model

## Why a reflection model?

- The high quality of the XMM-Newton spectra allow broad-band (0.5-12 keV) self-consistent reflection models to be tested
- This allows to better constrain:
  - the ionization structure of the disk reflecting skin
  - the spectral shape of the ionizing incident flux
  - to weight the chemical abundance of iron/other metal
  - to self-consistenly evaluate the total energetic contribution in extrapolated bands

#### The refbb component

- Table model of reflection from an optically thick slab of costant density
- Model developed from the reflion code (Ross & Fabian, 1993; Ballantyne et al. 2004 on 4U 1820-30)
- Incident radiation a black-body spectrum
- Lines and edges from Fe, O, Si, Mg, N and C and variable Fe/other metals ratio



## Need for a self-consistent reflection model

## Why a reflection model?

- The high quality of the XMM-Newton spectra allow broad-band (0.5-12 keV) self-consistent reflection models to be tested
- This allows to better constrain:
  - the ionization structure of the disk reflecting skin
  - the spectral shape of the ionizing incident flux
  - to weight the chemical abundance of iron/other metal
  - to self-consistenly evaluate the total energetic contribution in extrapolated bands

#### The refbb component

- Table model of reflection from an optically thick slab of costant density
- Model developed from the reflion code (Ross & Fabian, 1993; Ballantyne et al. 2004 on 4U 1820-30)
- Incident radiation a black-body spectrum
- Lines and edges from Fe, O, Si, Mg, N and C and variable Fe/other metals ratio



## The soft state of 4U 1705-44

#### 1705 - Spectral parameters

- Disk temp. 1.15  $\pm$  0.03 keV
- $\bullet~$  BB temp. 1.91  $\pm~$  0.01 keV
- $\log \xi$  2.36  $\pm$  0.07
- Luminosity  $\sim 1 \times 10^{38} \mbox{ erg s}^{-1}$
- Fractional BB flux 60%
- Fractional diskbb flux 30%
- Fractional refbb flux 10%

## How reflection reprocesses the incident radiation

0.1-1.0 keV	1-10 keV	10-100 keV
63 %	32 %	5 %



## The soft state of 4U 1705-44

#### 1705 - Spectral parameters

- Disk temperature : 1.15  $\pm$  0.03 keV
- BB temperature: 1.91  $\pm$  0.01 keV
- log ξ : 2.36 ± 0.07
- Luminosity  $\sim 1 \times 10^{38} \mbox{ erg s}^{-1}$
- Fractional BB flux 60%
- Fractional diskbb flux 30%
- Fractional refbb flux 10%

# How reflection reprocesses the incident radiation

0.1-1.0 Kev	1-10 Kev	10-100 Ke
63 %	32 %	5 %

## 1705 - refbb model



< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

dsfa

A. D'Aì

# Self-consistent constraints in the soft state: a truncated disk at 2 $R_{NS}$

#### Thermal disk emission

$$N_{DBB} = \left(\frac{R_{in}}{D_{10kpc}}\right)^2 \times \cos\theta \tag{1}$$

$$R_{in} \rightarrow 11.2 \pm 0.5 \ km \tag{2}$$

Inner radius under-estimated by a factor of  $\sim$  2 (Merloni et al. 2000) Corrected estimate is  $\sim$  11 R<sub>g</sub>  $\sim$  2 R<sub>NS</sub>.

Reflection signatures			
	Diskline	Refbb	
R <sub>in</sub> (R <sub>g</sub> ) Incl. Betor	$\begin{array}{c} 14 \pm 2 \\ 39 \pm 1 \\ 2.3 \pm 0.1 \end{array}$	$< 13 \\ 35 \pm 2 \\ 2.3 \pm 0.1$	

Ratio of continuum emission components	
$rac{L_{BL}}{L_{disk}}\sim 2$ with $ u_{spin}\sim 300$ Hz	(3)
from Popham & Sunyaev, 2001	

## Conclusions

## Talk Highlights

- Reflection features are potentially a key tool to investigate the pit of NS and BHs.
- It is possible to cope with moderatly pile-up affected spectra to achieve meaningful data interpretation
- Good fitting is not *the ultimate answer*. This paradigma still deserves supporting corroborance.
- Temporal analysis must cope with spectral analysis.
- Reflection in soft states of NS may be due to reflection of the boundary layer emission that has a thermal shape (no Compton bump).



< <p>> < <p>> < <p>> <</p>