Mapping the inner accretion region of AGN with X-ray variability

William Alston

Andy Fabian, Douglas Buisson, Michael Parker, Ciro Pinto, Anne Lohfink, Erin Kara, Phil Uttley, Dan Wilkins, Michal Dovciak, Matt Middleton, Dom Walton, Jiachen Jiang, Barbara DeMarco, Ed Cackett, Abdu Zoghbi, Andy Young, Giovanni Miniutti









Most-rapid variations seen in X-rays

 $t_{dynamical} \sim 500 \text{ s at } 6 \text{ R}_{g} \text{ for } M_{BH} = 10^{6} \text{ M}_{sun}$



Time s

1.5 Ms XMM-Newton obs in 2016



IRAS 13224-3809 Z=0.065 Highly variable: rms ~ 100% $M_{BH} \sim 10^{6-8} M_{sun}$ 0.25 c outflow (Parker+2017)

Optical: OM (Buisson+18)

IRAS 13224-3809: 30 days



Parker et al 2017a,b; Pinto et al 2018; Buisson et al 2018; Jiang, et al, in press; WA et al, sub

IRAS 13224-3809: 30 days



Parker et al 2017a,b; Pinto et al 2018; Buisson et al 2018; Jiang, et al, in press; WA et al, sub

IRAS 13224-3809: 30 days



Parker et al 2017a,b; Pinto et al 2018; Buisson et al 2018; Jiang, et al, in press; WA et al, sub

Power Spectral Density (PSD) - variability amplitude as a function of temporal frequency



See also talks by Ponti, DeMarco

- 10-0 10-4 10-0 0.01

01

10

Long-term PSD

CARMA modelling of 30 day full light curve (continuous auto-regressive moving average; Kelly et al 2014) Works for gappy data Models PSD as sum of Lorentzians



Low frequency roll over seen in one other AGN: Ark 564 (McHardy et al 2008) Alston et al, sub

BH mass – PSD break relation



Gonzalez-Martin & Vaughan 2012

Accretion state analogue



Accretion state analogue





Low frequency roll over seen in one other AGN: Ark 564 (McHardy et al 2008) Also accreting at Eddington Therefore Very High/Intermediate state

Rms-flux relation: linear



- Universal signature of accretion: WDs, YSOs, XRBs, AGN
- Tells us variability process is multiplicative, not additive
- Consistent with propagation of fluctuations model

e.g. Uttley + 2005, Vaughan + 2011





IRAS 13224-3809: rms-flux relation



Corresponds to power law transformation of a Gaussian process

IRAS 13224-3809: stationarity

Stationary process: well defined mean and variance on long timescales



Fractional variability should remain constant for stationary process

- Factors out rms-flux relation

Alston et al, submitted

Inner disc radius vs m_dot



McHardy relation:

 $\log(T_{\rm b}) = A\log(M_6) + B\log(L_{44}) + C$

$$\dot{m}_{\rm E} \approx L_{\rm bol}/L_{\rm E}$$

 $T_{\rm B} \approx M_{\rm BH}^{-1.12}/\dot{m}_{\rm E}^{-0.98}$

Assume HF break related to inner disc – e.g. thermal/viscous timescale

$$R_{\rm disk} \propto \dot{m}_{\rm E}^{-2/3}$$

May be observing break timescale decreasing with flux (m_dot)

Alston et al, sub



AGN time lags:





See also talk by Caballero-Garcia

Modelling time lags

s⁻¹ keV⁻¹]

 keV^2 [photons cm^{-2}

10

 10^{-3}

 10^{-4}

1

Total Blackbody

Power-law Reflection

[keV]

(b)

10

Transfer function Res

Response function

$$\Gamma(\nu) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi_{\mathcal{E}}(t) \exp(-i2\pi\nu t) dt,$$

Requires reflection spectrum to obtain weighting in each energy band



Dovciak, et al (2014)

Modelling time lags



Modelling time lags



But... poor fit to high flux data.....

Modelling of intrinsic lag not correct?

Summary

- X-ray variability is important for understanding accretion processes
- □ 1.5 Ms (+500 ks) campaign on IRAS 13224-3809
 - Unprecedented look at inner accretion region
- Non-stationarity on ~few 100 ks timescales
- Non-linear rms-flux relation
 - Fractionally more variable at low source flux
- PSD low frequency break + accretion rate
 - VH/Intermediate state analogue
- □ M_{BH} from HF break
- Modelling lags with single lamppost model
 - High spin and similar mass to break method